

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/277,229		03/26/1999	MARTIN CITRON	A-581	3236	
21069	7590	01/09/2002				
AMGEN II	NCORPO	RATED	EXAMINER			
MAIL STOP 27-4-A ONE AMGEN CENTER DRIVE				RAO, MANJUNATH N		
THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91320-1799				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
111005/1110 0/110, 0/1 7/320 1/77			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1652	1652	
				DATE MAILED: 01/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
A alvia a m. A atio m	09/ 229,277	MOORE ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Manjunath N Rao	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe							
THE REPLY FILED 07 December 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>10 September 2001</u>.37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fil	nally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): <u>Rejection of claims 11 and 1</u>	2 under 35 USC, 112, Ist paragraph.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	•					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>11-14 and 19</u> .	•	!					
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18 and 20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-9 and 21.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/229,277

Art Unit: 1652

Advisory Action

The request to reconsider has been considered but it does not place the application in condition for allowance. This is because, while applicants have amended claims 11 and 12 to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, Ist paragraph, (scope of enablement and written description), applicants have not addressed the rejection of claims 18 and 20 rejected for lack of enablement and written description. Furthermore, applicants have also not cancelled the on-elected claims that were withdrawn from consideration. Unless claims 18 and 19 are either amended or cancelled the application will not in condition of allowance. Therefore, even though, the amendment to claims 11 and 12 in the request to reconsider has been entered, the rejection of claims 18 and 20 are maintained for reasons of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manjunath Rao whose telephone number is (703) 306-5681. The Examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, P.Achutamurthy, can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax number for Official Papers to Technology Center 1600 is (703) 305-3014. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

REBECCA E. PROUIY PRIMARY EXAMINER

ROUP 1600

Manjunath N. Rao. Ph.D. January 4, 2002